THE ROST INVARIANT HAS ZERO KERNEL FOR QUASI-SPLIT TRIALITARIAN GROUPS

SKIP GARIBALDI

ABSTRACT. The Book of Involutions includes the nontrivial parts of a proof that the kernel of the Rost invariant is zero for quasi-split trialitarian groups. We record the missing (mechanical) details here for the convenience of readers who like the style of *The Book*.

A proof in Harder's style can be found in a paper by Chernousov.

Recall that for a simply connected and absolutely almost simple algebraic group G over a field F, the Rost invariant is a function $H^1(F,G) \to H^3(F,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$. If G is of type 3D_4 or 6D_4 —i.e., is trialitarian—and F has characteristic $\neq 2,3$ then the image of the Rost invariant lies in the Galois cohomology group $H^3(F,\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$; see [GMS, p. 149].

The purpose of this note is to prove the following well-known result.

Proposition. Let G be a simply connected trialitarian group over a field F. If G is quasi-split, then the kernel of the Rost invariant $H^1(F,G) \to H^3(F,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ is zero.

You can find the important part of a proof in 40.16 of *The Book of Involutions*, [KMRT]. A few technical steps are required to connect the results in *The Book* with the proposition. They are standard for the expert familiar with the details but may be inobvious for the casual consumer, so we record them here for convenience of reference. There is nothing interesting here.

Alternatively, [C, Th. 6.14] gives a proof in the style of Harder, referring to [PR] for details.

PREPARATION

Here is a baby analogue of the proposition. We write F_4 for a split simple algebraic group of that type. It is necessarily simply connected.

Lemma. The kernel of the Rost invariant $H^1(F, F_4) \to H^3(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ is zero.

Proof. We first assume that F has characteristic $\neq 2,3$ so that we may apply results from [KMRT]. The set $H^1(F, F_4)$ classifies Albert F-algebras.

^aStrictly speaking, we abuse language and write "the Rost invariant" when we really mean only the portion of it over a given field F. An example of the distinction is that the Rost invariant in our sense is totally uninteresting in the case $F = \mathbb{C}$ whereas the actual Rost invariant from [GMS] or [KMRT, §31] remains interesting.

If such an algebra J is in the kernel of the Rost invariant, then it is a first Tits construction because the mod 2 part is zero [KMRT, 40.5] and it has zero divisors because the mod 3 part is zero [KMRT, 40.8(2)]. So J is split by [J, p. 416, Th. 20].

The case where F has characteristic 2 or 3 follows from the characteristic zero case by the main result of [Gi].

THE PROOF

Proof of the proposition. We assume that F has characteristic zero; as in the proof of the lemma, this suffices.

The group G is the identity component of the automorphism group of a twisted Hurwitz composition $\Gamma(C,L)$ where C is a split octonion algebra and L is a cubic étale F-algebra. The Springer Decomposition as in [KMRT, §38.A] gives an injection

$$G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(C, L)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(J(\Gamma(C, L))),$$

where the last group is of type F_4 [KMRT, 38.7]. In fact, $\operatorname{Aut}(J(\Gamma(C, L)))$ is split: it contains the rank 2 split subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ of type G_2 , and a group of type F_4 with rank ≥ 2 is split by Tits's classification [T, p. 60].

Fix $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$ with zero Rost invariant, and write β for its image in $H^1(F,\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(C,L)))$; it corresponds to a twisted composition. The image of β in $H^1(F,\operatorname{Aut}(J(\Gamma(C,L))))$ also has Rost invariant zero by [GMS, p. 122], hence is zero by the lemma. In particular, the Albert F-algebra obtained by the Springer Decomposition from β is has zero divisors and so β is a twisted Hurwitz composition $\Gamma(C',L')$ by [KMRT, 38.8]. But β comes from α , so in fact L'=L. And reading the statement of [KMRT, 38.8] closely, we see that C' is the coordinate algebra of the split Albert algebra, i.e., C' is the split octonion algebra C. We have deduced that α is in the kernel of the map $H^1(F,G) \to H^1(F,\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(C,L)))$.

Write \bar{G} for the adjoint quotient of G and Δ for its Dynkin diagram endowed with the natural action of the Galois group of F. As G is quasisplit, so is \bar{G} , and the sequence (from, say, [Sp, 16.3.9(3)] or [MPW, Lemma 1.6])

$$1 \to \bar{G} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{G}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta) \to 1$$

is exact on F-points. Said differently, the map $H^1(F,\bar{G}) \to H^1(F,\operatorname{Aut}(\bar{G}))$ has zero kernel. But $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(C,L))$ is just $G \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\bar{G})$ is $\bar{G} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$, so there is a commutative diagram

$$H^1(F,G) \longrightarrow H^1(F,\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(C,L)))$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^1(F,\bar{G}) \longrightarrow H^1(F,\operatorname{Aut}(\bar{G}))$$

^bThe inclusion $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(J(\Gamma(C,L)))$ has Rost multiplier 1, but we do not need this fact here.

Clearly, α is in the kernel of $H^1(F,G) \to H^1(F,\bar{G})$. This is the same as the image of $H^1(F,Z) \to H^1(F,G)$, where Z denotes the center of G. As G is quasi-split, it contains a quasi-trivial maximal torus S and it in turn contains Z (because every maximal torus does). Then α is in the image of $H^1(F,S) \to H^1(F,G)$, but $H^1(F,S)$ is zero by Hilbert's Theorem 90. \square

References

- [C] V. Chernousov, The kernel of the Rost invariant, Serre's Conjecture II and the Hasse principle for quasi-split groups ^{3,6}D₄, E₆, E₇, Math. Ann. **326** (2003), 297–330.
- [Gi] P. Gille, Invariants cohomologiques de Rost en caractéristique positive, K-Theory **21** (2000), 57–100.
- [GMS] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev, and J-P. Serre, Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology, University Lecture Series 28, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [J] N. Jacobson, Structure and representations of Jordan algebras, Coll. Pub.,
 vol. 39, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.
- [KMRT] M.-A. Knus, A.S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [MPW] A.S. Merkurjev, I. Panin, and A.R. Wadsworth, Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties, I, K-Theory 10 (1996), 517–596.
- [PR] V.P. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, Algebraic groups and number theory, Academic Press, Boston, 1994.
- [Sp] T.A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [T] J. Tits, Classification of algebraic semisimple groups, in "Algebraic groups and discontinuous subgroups" (Boulder, Colo., 1965), (A. Borel and G.D. Mostow, eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 9, American Mathematical Society, 1966, pp. 33–62.